

APPLICATION NO.

10/003,149

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.
498-263 7468

23869 7590 09/02/2005 HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP 6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE. SYOSSET, NY 11791

FILING DATE

11/02/2001

EXAMINER HO, UYEN T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3731

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Kristian Dimatteo

)	
			on No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/003,14	19	DIMATTEO ET AL.		
		Examine		Art Unit		
			an-Uyen T. Ho	3731		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -		
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a depart term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 0) days, a reply within the state atutory period will apply and wwill, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.	
Status						
1) 又	☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 May 2005.					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-30 and 32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-30 and 32 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
Applicati	on Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•	- · ·			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. The amendment and Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Venditti et al. (US 2003/0082323 A1). Venditti et al. disclose method for manufacturing polymeric thin-walled tubular members which are use as vascular grafts. The polymeric thin-walled tubular members have wall thickness of less than or about 250 microns (paragraph 50).
- 4. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sogard et al. (US 2003/0082324 A1). Sogard et al. discloses a polymeric graft having a wall thickness of less than or about 250 microns.
- 5. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Ishiwari et al. (EP 0 351 584). Ishiwari et al. disclose a polymeric tube having a thin wall thickness

in the range as claimed (see table 2). Note: The introductory statement of intended use and all other functional statements have been carefully considered but are deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over the Ishiwari et al.'s device which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Frantzen (6,695,833). Frantzen disclose a stent-graft comprising a metal stent (col. 6, lines 11-26), a graft being made from parylene which is the generic for members of a family of polymeric di-parazylylene, the thickness of the graft being .0001-.002 inches which is between 2.5-51 microns. It is inherently that parylene would have the formula as claimed.

Although, Frantzen does not layout the formula as claimed, since parylene is the generic of the family members of the para-zylylene, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the species in substitute for the generic wherein so doing would amount to mere substitution of one material for an other within the same art which would perform equally well in Frantzen's device.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is 571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANHTUAN NGUYEN can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3731

- aujeulhle

August 11, 2005